



Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

In the Matter of )  
Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of )  
the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 )  
as amended by the Cable Television Consumer )  
Protection and Competition Act of 1992 )

MB Docket No. 05-311

**COMMENTS OF THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF MALVERNE, NEW YORK**

These Comments are filed by the Incorporated Village of Malverne, N.Y., in support of the comments filed by the National League of Cities and the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors ("NATOA"). Like NLC and NATOA, Malverne believes that local governments can issue an appropriate local franchise for new entrants into the video services field on a timely basis, just as they have for established cable services providers. In support of this belief, we wish to inform the Commission about the facts of video franchising in our community.

**Cable Franchising in Our Community**

**Community Information**

Malverne is an incorporated village with a population of 9500. Our franchised cable provider is Cablevision. Our community has negotiated cable franchises since 1983.

**Competitive Cable Systems**

Our community was approached in 2005 by Verizon, but the provider chose not to enter into any formal discussions. We have not denied any provider the opportunity to serve in our community. As a matter of fact, the mechanisms are in place to offer the same or a comparable franchise to a competitor upon request.

**Conclusions**

The local cable franchising process functions well in Malverne. As the above information indicates, we are experienced at working with cable providers to both see that the needs of the local community are met and to ensure that the practical business needs of cable providers are taken into account.

10 Copies rec'd  
BLASCODE

0+4

Local cable franchising ensures that local cable operators are allowed access to the rights of way in a fair and evenhanded manner, that other users of the rights of way are not unduly inconvenienced, and that uses of the rights of way, including maintenance and upgrade of facilities, are undertaken in a manner which is in accordance with local requirements. Local cable franchising also ensures that our local community's specific needs are met and that local customers are protected.

Local franchises thus provide a means for local government to appropriately oversee the operations of cable service providers in the public interest, and to ensure compliance with applicable laws. There is no need to create a new Federal bureaucracy in Washington to handle matters of specifically local interest.

Finally, local franchises allow each community, including ours, to have a voice in how local cable systems will be implemented and what features (such as PEG access, institutional networks or local emergency alerts, etc.) will be available to meet local needs. These factors are equally present for new entrants as for existing users.

The [*Name of community*] therefore respectfully requests that the Commission do nothing to interfere with local government authority over franchising or to otherwise impair the operation of the local franchising process as set forth under existing Federal law with regard to either existing cable service providers or new entrants.

Respectfully submitted,

*Incorporated Village of Malverne, NY*

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Anthony J. Panzarella". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Anthony" being more prominent.

By:

Anthony J. Panzarella  
Mayor  
99 Church Street  
Malverne, NY 11565  
(516) 599-1200  
mayorpanzarella@aol.com

cc: National League of Cities, [leanza@nlc.org](mailto:leanza@nlc.org)  
NATOA, [info@natoa.org](mailto:info@natoa.org)  
John Norton, [John.Norton@fcc.gov](mailto:John.Norton@fcc.gov)  
Andrew Long, [Andrew.Long@fcc.gov](mailto:Andrew.Long@fcc.gov)